# Secure Data Forwarding on Cloud Storage System

M. Madhavi, S.Shanawaz Basha, M.Ranjith Reddy

Abstract- A cloud storage system, consisting of a group of storage servers, provides continuing storage services over the Internet. Storing data in a third party's cloud system causes serious problem over data discretion. secure and reliable cloud data management to guarantee the data correctness and availability, given the difficulty that data are no longer locally possessed by data owners. We design a secure cloud storage service which addresses the reliability issue with near-optimal overall performance. By allowing a third party to perform the public integrity verification, data owners are significantly released from the onerous work of periodically checking data integrity. To completely free the data owner from the burden of being online after data outsourcing, we propose an exact repair solution so that no metadata needs to be generated on the fly for the repaired data. The second part presents our privacy-preserving data utilization solutions supporting two categories of semantics - keyword search and graph query. For protecting data privacy, sensitive data has to be encrypted before outsourcing, which obsoletes traditional data utilization based on plaintext keyword search. We define and solve the challenging problem of privacy-preserving multi-keyword ranked search over encrypted data in cloud computing. We establish a set of strict privacy requirements for such a secure cloud data utilization system to become a reality. We first propose a basic idea for keyword search based on secure inner product computation, and then give two improved schemes to achieve various stringent privacy requirements in two different threat models.General encryption schemes keep data discretion, but also limit the functionality of the storage system because a few operations are supported over encrypted data. Constructing a secure storage system that supports multiple functions is challenging when the storage system is distributed and has no central power. We propose a threshold proxy re-encryption scheme and integrate it with a decentralized erasure code such that a secure distributed storage system is formulated. The distributed storage system not only supports secure and robust data storage and retrieval, but also lets a user forward his data in the storage servers to another user without retrieving the data back. The main technical contribution is that the proxy reencryption scheme supports encoding operations over encrypted messages as well as forwarding operations over encoded and encrypted messages. Our method fully integrates encrypting, encoding, and forwarding. We analyze and suggest suitable parameters for the number of copies of a message dispatched to storage servers and the number of storage servers queried by a key server. These parameters allow more flexible adjustment between the number of storage servers and robustness.

Keywords- Decentralized erasure code, proxy re-encryption, threshold cryptography, secure storage system.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

As high-speed networks and everywhere Internet access become available in recent years, many services are provided on the Internet such that users can use them from anywhere at any time. For example, the email service is probably the most popular one. Cloud computing is a concept that treats the resources on the Internet as a unified entity, a cloud. Users just use services without being concerned about how computation is done and storage is managed. In this paper, we focus on designing a cloud storage system for robustness, confidentiality, and functionality. A cloud storage system is considered as a large scale distributed storage system that consists of many independent storage servers.

Data robustness is a major requirement for storage systems. There have been many proposals of storing data over storage servers [1], [2], [3], [4], [5]. One way to provide data robustness is to replicate a message such that each storage server stores a copy of the message. It is very robust because the message can be retrieved as long as one storage server survives. Another way is to encode a message of k symbols into a codeword of n symbols by erasure coding. To store a message, each of its codeword symbols is stored in a different storage server. A storage server failure corresponds to an erasure error of the codeword symbol. As long as the number of failure servers is under the tolerance threshold of the erasure code, the message can be recovered from the codeword symbols stored in the available storage servers by the decoding process. This provides a tradeoff between the storage size and the tolerance threshold of failure servers. A decentralized erasure code is an erasure code that independently computes each codeword symbol for a

message. Thus, the encoding process for a message can be split into n parallel tasks of generating codeword symbols. A decentralized erasure code is suitable for use in a distributed storage system. After the message symbols are sent to storage servers, each storage server independently computes a codeword symbol for the received message symbols and stores it.

This finishes the encoding and storing process. The recovery process is the same.

Storing data in a third party's cloud system causes serious concern on data confidentiality. In order to provide strong confidentiality for messages in storage servers, a user can encrypt messages by a cryptographic method before applying an erasure code method to encode and store messages. When he wants to use a message, he needs to retrieve the codeword symbols from storage servers, decode them, and then decrypt them by using cryptographic keys. There are three problems in the above straightforward integration of encryption and encoding. First, the user has to do most computation and the communication traffic between the user and storage servers is high. Second, the user has to manage his cryptographic keys. If the user's device of storing the keys is lost or compromised, the security is broken. Finally, besides data storing and retrieving, it is hard for storage servers to directly support other functions. For example, storage servers cannot directly forward a user's messages to another one. The owner of messages has to retrieve, decode, decrypt and then forward them to another user.

In this paper, we are address the problem of directly data forwarding to another user by storage servers under the

control of the data owner. We consider the system model that consists of distributed storage servers and key servers. Since storing cryptographic keys in a single device is precarious, a user distributes his cryptographic key to key servers that shall perform cryptographic functions on behalf of the user. These key servers are highly protected by security mechanisms. To well fit the distributed structure of systems, we require that servers independently perform all operations. With this consideration, we propose a new threshold proxy reencryption scheme and integrate it with a secure decentralized code to form a secure distributed storage system. The encryption scheme supports encoding operations over encrypted messages and forwarding operations over encrypted and encoded messages. The tight integration of encoding, encryption, and forwarding makes the storage system efficiently meet the requirements of data robustness, data confidentiality, and data forwarding. Accomplishing the integration with consideration of a distributed structure is difficult. Our system meets the requirements that storage servers independently perform encoding and re-encryption and key servers independently perform partial decryption. Moreover, we consider the system in a more general setting than previous works. This setting allows more flexible adjustment between the number of storage servers and robustness.

# 2. EXISTING SYSTEM

In Existing System we use a straightforward integration method. In straightforward integration method Storing data in a third party's cloud system causes serious concern on data confidentiality. From the outlook of data security, which has always been an important aspect of quality of service, Cloud Computing inevitably poses new challenging security threats for number of reasons. In order to provide strong confidentiality for messages in storage servers, a user can encrypt messages by a cryptographic method before applying an erasure code method to encode and store messages. When he wants to use a message, he needs to retrieve the General encryption schemes protect data confidentiality, but also limit the functionality of the storage system because a few supported over encrypted operations are data. A decentralized architecture for storage systems offers good scalability, because a storage server can join or leave without control of a central authority.

Firstly, traditional cryptographic primitives for the purpose of data security protection cannot be directly adopted due to the users' loss control of data under Cloud Computing. Therefore, verification of correct data storage in the cloud must be conducted without explicit knowledge of the whole data. Considering various kinds of data for each user stored in the cloud and the demand of long term continuous assurance of their data safety, the problem of verifying correctness of data storage in the cloud becomes even more challenging. Secondly, Cloud Computing is not just a third party data warehouse. The data stored in the cloud may be frequently updated by the users, including insertion, deletion, modification, appending, reordering, etc. To ensure storage correctness under dynamic data update is hence of paramount importance.

Drawbacks of Existing System

- 1) The user can perform more computation and communication traffic between the user and storage servers is high.
- 2) The user has to manage his cryptographic keys otherwise the security has to be broken.
- 3) The data storing and retrieving, it is hard for storage servers to directly support other functions.
- 4) The user is unable to share the data confidentiality to the destination.




Fig. 1. A general system model of our work.

#### 3. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In this paper, we propose an effective and flexible distributed scheme with explicit dynamic data support to ensure the correctness of users' data in the cloud. We rely on erasure correcting code in the file distribution preparation to provide redundancies and guarantee the data dependability. This construction drastically reduces the communication and storage overhead as compared to the traditional replicationbased file distribution techniques. By utilizing the homomorphic token with distributed verification of erasurecoded data, our scheme achieves the storage correctness insurance as well as data error localization: whenever data corruption has been detected during the storage correctness verification, our scheme can almost guarantee the simultaneous localization of data errors, i.e., the identification of the misbehaving server(s).

IJSER © 2013 http://www .ijser.org In the proxy Re-encryption key the messages are first encrypted by the owner and then stored in a storage server. When a user wants to share his messages, he sends a reencryption key to the storage server. The storage server reencrypts the encrypted messages for the authorized user. Thus, their system has data confidentiality and supports the data forwarding function.

An encryption scheme is multiplicative homomorphic if it supports a group operation on encrypted plaintexts without decryption. The multiplicative homomorphic encryption scheme supports the encoding operation over encrypted messages. We then convert a proxy re-encryption scheme with multiplicative homomorphic property into a threshold version. A secret key is shared to key servers with a threshold value t. To decrypt for a set of k message symbols, each key server independently queries 2 storage servers and partially decrypts two encrypted codeword symbols. As long as t key servers are available, k codeword symbols are obtained from the partially decrypted cipher texts.

The distributed systems require independent servers to perform all operations. We propose a new threshold proxy reencryption scheme and integrate it with a secure decentralized code to form a secure distributed storage system. The encryption scheme supports encoding operations over encrypted messages and forwarding operations over encrypted and encoded messages.

# 3.1. PROXY RE-ENCRYPTION SCHEMES

In a proxy re-encryption scheme, a proxy server can transfer a ciphertext under a public key PKa to a new one under another public key PKa by using the re-encryption key  $RKa \rightarrow a$ . The server does not know the plaintext during transformation. Ateniese et al.[6] proposed some proxy reencryption schemes and applied them to the sharing function of secure storage systems. In their work, messages are first encrypted by the owner and then stored in a storage server. When a user wants to share his messages, he sends a reencryption key to the storage server. The storage server reencrypts the encrypted messages for the authorized user. Thus, their system has data confidentiality and supports the data forwarding function. Our work further integrates encryption, re-encryption, and encoding such that storage robustness

is strengthened.

Type-based proxy re-encryption schemes proposed by Tang [7] provide a better granularity on the granted right of a reencryption key. A user can decide which type of messages and with whom he wants to share in this kind of proxy reencryption schemes. Key-private proxy re-encryption schemes are proposed by Ateniese et al. [8]. In a key-private

proxy re-encryption scheme, given a re-encryption key, a proxy server cannot determine the identity of the recipient. This kind of proxy re-encryption schemes provides higher privacy guarantee against proxy servers. Although most proxy re-encryption schemes use pairing operations, there exist proxy re-encryption schemes without pairing [9].

# 4. SYSTEM MODEL

As shown in Fig. 1, our system model consists of users, n storage servers SS1; SS2; ...; SSn, and m key servers KS1; KS2; ...; KSm. Storage servers provide storage services and key servers provide key management services. They work independently. Our distributed storage system consists of four phases: system setup, data storage, data forwarding, and data retrieval. These four phases are described as follows.

- 1) In the system setup phase, the system manager chooses system parameters and publishes them. Each user A is assigned a public-secret key pair  $(PK_A; SK_A)$  User A distributes his secret key  $SK_A$  to key servers such that each key server  $KS_i$  holds a key share  $SK_{A,i}$ ;  $1 \le i \le m$ . The key is shared with a threshold t.
- 2) In the data storage phase, user A encrypts his message M and dispatches it to and dispatches it to storage servers. A message M is decomposed into k blocks m<sub>1</sub>m<sub>2</sub>....m<sub>k</sub> and has an identifier ID. User A encrypts each block m<sub>i</sub> into a cipher text C<sub>i</sub> and sends it to v randomly chosen storage servers. Upon receiving cipher texts from a user, each storage server linearly combines them with randomly chosen coefficients into a codeword symbol and stores it. Note that a storage server may receive less than k message blocks and we assume that all storage servers know the value k in advance.
- 3) In the data forwarding phase, user A forwards his encrypted message with an identifier ID stored in storage servers to user B such that B can decrypt the forwarded message by his secret key. To do so, A uses his secret key  $SK_A$  and B's public key is  $PK_B$  to compute a re-encryption key  $RK_{A\to B}^{ID}$  and then sends  $RK_{A\to B}^{ID}$  to all storage servers. Each storage server uses the reencryption key to re-encrypt its codeword symbol for later retrieval requests by B. The re-encrypted codeword symbol is the combination of cipher texts under B's public key. In order to distinguish re-encrypted codeword symbols from intact ones, we call them

original codeword symbols and re-encrypted codeword symbols, respectively.

4) In the data retrieval phase, user A requests to retrieve a message from storage servers. The message is either stored by him or forwarded to him. User A sends a retrieval request to key servers. Upon receiving the retrieval request and executing a proper authentication process with user A, each key server KS requests u randomly chosen storage servers to get codeword symbols and does partial decryption on the received codeword symbols by using the key share Kai. Finally, user A combines the partially decrypted codeword symbols to obtain the original message M.

System recovering: When a storage server fails, a new one is added. The new storage server queries k available storage servers, linearly combines the received codeword symbols as a new one and stores it. The system is then recovered.

#### 4.1. THREAT MODEL

We consider data confidentiality for both data storage and data forwarding. In this threat model, an attacker wants to break data confidentiality of a target user. To do so, the attacker colludes with all storage servers, non-target users, and up to (t-1) key servers. The attacker analyzes stored messages in storage servers, the secret keys of non-target users, and the shared keys stored in key servers. Note that the storage servers store all re-encryption keys provided by users. The attacker may try to generate a new re-encryption key from stored re-encryption keys. We formally model this attack by the standard chosen plaintext attack1 of the proxy re-encryption scheme in a threshold version, as shown in Fig. 2.

Challeng	er	Attacker
setup phase	parameters target user T	→ _
$\frac{(t-1) \text{ key shares of } SK_{T}}{\text{secret key queries (except T)}}$		
re - encryption key queries (except T to other user)		
challenge phase	$\frac{M_0, M_1, ID_0, ID_1}{Enc(PK_T, ID_b, M)}$	( <sub>b</sub> )
$b \in_{\mathbb{R}} \{0,1\}$		
Attacker wins if $b' = b$		

Fig. 2. The security game for the chosen plaintext attack.

The challenger  $^{C}$  provides the system parameters. After the attacker  $^{A}$  chooses a target user, the challenger gives him

(t-1) key shares of the secret key  $SK_T$  of the target user T to model (t-1) compromised key servers. Then, the attacker can query secret keys of other users and all re-encryption keys except those from T to other users. This model compromised non-target users and storage servers. In the challenge phase, the attacker chooses two messages  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  with the identifiers  $ID_0$  and  $ID_1$ , respectively. The challenger throws a random coin b and encrypts the message  $M_b$  with T 's public key  $PK_T$ . After getting the ciphertext from the challenger, the attacker outputs a bit b' for guessing b. In this game, the attacker wins if and only if b' = b. The advantage of the attacker is defined as  $[1/2 - \Pr[b' = b]]$ .

A cloud storage system modeled in the above is secure if no probabilistic polynomial time attacker wins the game with a non negligible advantage. A secure cloud storage system implies that an unauthorized user or server cannot get the content of stored messages, and a storage server cannot generate re-encryption keys by himself. If a storage server can generate a re-encryption key from the target user to another user B, the attacker can win the security game by re-encrypting the ciphertext to B and decrypting the re-encrypted ciphertext using the secret key  $SK_E$ . Therefore, this model addresses the security of data storage and data forwarding.

# 4.2. ADVANTAGES OF PROPOSED SYSTEM

- 1) Tight integration of encoding, encryption, and forwarding makes the storage system efficiently meet the requirements of data robustness, data confidentiality, and data forwarding.
- 2) The Storage servers independently perform encoding and re-encryption process and the key servers independently perform partial decryption process.
- 3) More flexible adjustment between the number of storage servers and robustness.
- 4) Compared to many of its predecessors, which only provide binary results about the storage state across the distributed servers, the challenge-response protocol in our work further provides the localization of data error.
- 5) Unlike most prior works for ensuring remote data integrity, the new scheme supports secure and efficient dynamic operations on data blocks, including: update, delete and append.

#### 5. SECURITY ANALYSIS

The data confidentiality of our cloud storage system is

1600

guaranteed even if all storage servers, non-target users, and up to (t-1) key servers are compromised by the attacker. Recall the security game illustrated in Fig. 2.

# 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we consider a cloud storage system consists of storage servers and key servers. We integrate a newly proposed threshold proxy re-encryption scheme and erasure codes over exponents. The threshold proxy re-encryption scheme supports encoding, forwarding, and partial decryption operations in a distributed way. To decrypt a message of k blocks that are encrypted and encoded to n codeword symbols, each key server only has to partially decrypt two codeword symbols in our system. By using the threshold proxy re-encryption scheme, we present a secure cloud storage system that provides secure data storage and secure data forwarding functionality in a decentralized structure. Moreover, each storage server independently performs encoding and re-encryption and each key server independently performs partial decryption.

Our storage system and some newly proposed content addressable file systems and storage system [27], [28], [29] are highly compatible. Our storage servers act as storage nodes in a content addressable storage system for storing content addressable blocks. Our key servers act as access nodes for providing a front-end layer such as a traditional file system interface. Further study on detailed cooperation is required.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Kubiatowicz, D. Bindel, Y. Chen, P. Eaton, D. Geels, R. Gummadi, S. Rhea, H. Weatherspoon, W. Weimer, C. Wells, and B. Zhao, "Oceanstore: An Architecture for Global-Scale Persis-tent Storage," Proc. Ninth Int'l Conf. Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems (ASPLOS), pp. 190-201, 2000.
- [2] P. Druschel and A. Rowstron, "PAST: A Large-Scale, Persistent Peer-to-Peer Storage Utility," Proc. Eighth Workshop Hot Topics in Operating System (HotOS VIII), pp. 75-80, 2001.
- [3] A. Adya, W.J. Bolosky, M. Castro, G. Cermak, R. Chaiken, J.R. Douceur, J. Howell, J.R. Lorch, M. Theimer, and R. Wattenhofer, "Farsite: Federated, Available, and Reliable Storage for an Incompletely Trusted Environment," Proc.

Fifth Symp. Operating System Design and Implementation (OSDI), pp. 1-14, 2002.

- [4] A. Haeberlen, A. Mislove, and P. Druschel, "Glacier: Highly Durable, Decentralized Storage despite Massive Correlated Fail-ures," Proc. Second Symp. Networked Systems Design and Implemen-tation (NSDI), pp. 143-158, 2005.
- [5] Z. Wilcox-O'Hearn and B. Warner, "Tahoe: The Least-Authority Filesystem," Proc. Fourth ACM Int'l Workshop Storage Security and Survivability (StorageSS), pp. 21-26, 2008.
- [6] G. Ateniese, K. Fu, M. Green, and S. Hohenberger, "Improved Proxy Re-Encryption Schemes with Applications to Secure Distributed Storage," ACM Trans. Information and System Security, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1-30, 2006.
- [7] Q. Tang, "Type-Based Proxy Re-Encryption and Its Construction,"

Proc. Ninth Int'l Conf. Cryptology in India: Progress in Cryptology (INDOCRYPT), pp. 130-144, 2008.

- [8] G. Ateniese, K. Benson, and S. Hohenberger, "Key-Private Proxy Re-Encryption," Proc. Topics in Cryptology (CT-RSA), pp. 279-294, 2009.
- [9] J. Shao and Z. Cao, "CCA-Secure Proxy Re-Encryption without Pairings," Proc. 12th Int'l Conf. Practice and Theory in Public Key Cryptography (PKC), pp. 357-376, 2009.

#### BIOGRAPHY

M.Madhavi pursuring M.Tech in Computer science & Engineering from Indira Priyadarshini Engineering college for women. Completed MCA from S.K.University, Anantapuram, and B.Sc. degree in computer science, S.K.University Anantapuram, Andhra Pradesh, India.



S. Shanawaz Basha received his M.Tech degree in Computer Science from JNTU Anantapur, India in 2011, MCA from Osmaina University, and B.Sc.in Electronics from S.K. University, A.P, India in 2009 and 2006 respectively. He is currently working as Assistant Professor at AVSV Engineering College of JNTUA, A.P. His current research interest includes Wireless Sensor Networks and networking protocols.

M.Ranjith Reddy received his M. Tech degree in Computer Science from JNTU Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh India in 2011, B.Tech from JNTU Anantapur, in 2005 respectively. He is currently working as Associate Professor at Srinivasa Ramanujan Institute of Technology Anantapuram. Published FOUR International Conferences and TWO National Conferences.

# IJSER

IJSER © 2013 http://www .ijser.org